



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/715,772	11/17/2000	Jack B. Dennis	004800.P004	7033	
26384 7	590 02/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS)			KING, JUSTIN		
CODE 1008.2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	OOK AVENUE, S.W. N, DC 20375-5320		2111 DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DW

	Application No.	Application No. O9/715,772 Applicant(s) DENNIS ET AL.	
Advisory Action	09/715,772		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin I. King	2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2004 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be elected for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	ed to avoid abandonment of thi ther: (1) a timely filed amendm f Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper re ent which places the appl	eply to a lication in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or l	o)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the	mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under 37 of extension and the corresponding amount of the period for reply original for the corresponding amount of the corre	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropriate e ally set in the final Office action; o	See MPEP ate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on App. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent	ered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require	e further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below)	;
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);		
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without NOTE:	canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected cla	ims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the followin	g rejection(s): 112.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filo	ed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requ	uest for reconsideration has be	en considered but does N	IOT place the

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 1-41.

10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

XUAN M. THAI PRIMARY EXAMINER

TCZIOO

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. \boxtimes For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 099/715,772

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Applicant argues that the Bucher does not disclose the claimed processing slice which executes multi-threads concurrently. The rejection uses the Motomura to support the concurrently processing. The Motomura has a plurality of the processors within a processing slice (figure 1), which is capable of concurrent processing. 2. Applicant argues that the Motomura's processor does not perform operations from any other thread. The Motomura's figure 1 as a whole is equivalent to the claimed processing slice, which does process operations from different threads.

Continuation of 10. Other: The submitted prior art (2/6/04) is not entered because it is not in compliance with MPEP 609, 37 CFR 1.56, 1.97(c), and 1.98.